

***p*-Minors of a Doubly Stochastic Matrix
at Which the Permanent Achieves a Minimum*†**

Mark Blondeau Hedrick
222 Kalmer, Pasadena, Texas 77502

Recommended by R. Brualdi

ABSTRACT

The author proves that if A is a matrix at which the permanent achieves a local minimum relative to the set of $n \times n$ doubly stochastic matrices, then for $a_{ij} = 0$,

$$\text{per } A(i|j) \geq \text{per } A.$$

This paper is motivated by a long-standing conjecture of B. L. van der Waerden which states that the permanent achieves a unique minimum on the set D_n of $n \times n$ doubly stochastic matrices at the matrix J_n whose entries are each $1/n$ [7].

The author proves that if A is a matrix at which the permanent achieves a local minimum relative to the set D_n , then for $a_{ij} = 0$, $\text{per } A(i|j) \geq \text{per } A$.

The above result has been proven by David London in [1]. Independently of him, the present author proved the above conclusion under the hypothesis that A was a matrix at which the permanent achieves an absolute minimum relative to D_n . Since London's proof was based on the duality theorem from linear programming, the author felt that it would be of interest to have a combinatorial proof. Indeed, the above result is of interest because it validates a proof by H Minc in [5, pp. 261-262].

A nonnegative matrix in which each row and column has sum 1 is said to be doubly stochastic. An $n \times n$ matrix A is said to have doubly stochastic pattern if there is an $n \times n$ doubly stochastic matrix B such that $a_{ij} = 0$ if and only if $b_{ij} = 0$. A square matrix A is said to be partly decomposable if there is an $s \times t$ zero submatrix such that $s + t = n$. A square matrix A is said to be fully indecomposable if it is not partly decomposable.

*This paper is dedicated to Reesa Dawn Hedrick.

†This work was partially supported by NDEA Title IV Grant 67-11015.

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. Let $\text{per} A$ denote the permanent of A , a number obtained by taking the product of the entries in each diagonal of A and then summing over all of these products. The quantity $\text{per} A(i|j)$ is called the p -minor of a_{ij} . It is the permanent of the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ sub-matrix of A obtained by deleting the i th row and j th column.

We shall say that two nonzero entries $a_{i(1),j(1)}$ and $a_{i(k),j(k)}$ are chainable if there is a sequence $a_{i(1),j(1)}, \dots, a_{i(k),j(k)}$ of different, nonzero entries such that for $1 \leq r \leq k$, $i_r = i_{r+1}$ or $j_r = j_{r+1}$; and if $1 < h+1 < p \leq k$, then $i_h \neq i_p$ and $j_h \neq j_p$. We shall say that the matrix A is chainable if each nonzero entry can be chained to any other. The concept can be visualized by the movements of a rook with stationary positions on the nonzero entries of A . Observe that once the rook moves out of a row (column) it cannot return to that row (column).

We shall make use of the following results.

THEOREM A. *If A is a matrix at which the permanent achieves a local minimum relative to D_n , then A is fully indecomposable.*

The proof of Theorem A is identical to the one in [2, pp. 63–64].

THEOREM B. *If A is a matrix at which the permanent achieves a local minimum relative to the set D_n , then*

$$\text{per} A(i|j) = \text{per} A \quad \text{if} \quad a_{ij} > 0.$$

The proof of Theorem B is an obvious modification of the one in [2, pp. 64–66].

The following theorem is proved in [6, pp. 68–69].

THEOREM C. *A square matrix A is fully indecomposable if and only if A has doubly stochastic pattern and is chainable.*

We shall now prove our main result.

THEOREM. *Let A be a matrix at which the permanent achieves a local minimum relative to D_n . Then for $a_{ij} = 0$,*

$$\text{per} A(i|j) \geq \text{per} A.$$

Proof. Let $a_{ij} = 0$. Since A is doubly stochastic, there are nonzero entries a_{iq} and a_{jp} . Since A is fully indecomposable by Theorem A, it follows by Theorem C that A is chainable. Thus there is a chain a_{iq}, \dots, a_{jp} . We can

assume that the chain has the form $a_{qi}, a_{q,i(1)}, \dots, a_{i(k),p}, a_{ip}$. If it did not, we would only need to change the appropriate end points and we would still have a chain which connected the i th row and j th column. Consider the matrix $A(f)$ which is obtained from A by placing f in (i, j) , $a_{qi} - f$ in (q, j) , $a_{q,i(1)} + f$ in $(q, j(1)), \dots, a_{i(k),p} + f$ in $(i(k), p)$, and $a_{ip} - f$ in (i, p) , and leaving all other entries the same. Since $A(f)$ is doubly stochastic for $0 \leq f \leq \min\{a_{qi}, \dots, a_{ip}\}$, $\text{per} A(f)$ is nondecreasing on some interval $[0, c]$. Hence $d(\text{per} A(0))/df$ is nonnegative. By Theorem B, it follows that for any a_{rs} in the chain, $\text{per} A(r|s) = \text{per} A$. Hence

$$\text{per} A(i|j) - \text{per} A = \text{per}(i|j) - \text{per} A(i|p) = \frac{d \text{per} A(0)}{df} \geq 0.$$

■

REFERENCES

- 1 David London, Some notes on the van der Waerden conjecture, *J. Linear Algebra* **4** (1971), 155–160.
- 2 Marvin Marcus and Morris Newman, On the minimum of the permanent of a doubly stochastic matrix, *Duke Math. J.* **26** (1959), 61–72.
- 3 Marvin Marcus and Henryk Minc, On a conjecture of B. L. van der Waerden, *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **63** (1967), 305–309.
- 4 Marvin Marcus and Henryk Minc, *A survey of matrix theory and matrix inequalities*, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, Mass., 1964.
- 5 Henryk Minc, Permanents of $(0, 1)$ -circulants, *Can. Math. Bull.* **7** (1964), 253–263.
- 6 Richard Sinkhorn and Paul Knopp, Problems involving diagonal products in nonnegative matrices, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **136** (1969), 65–75.
- 7 B. L. van der Waerden, Aufgabe 49, *Jahresber. Dtsch. Math. Ver.* **35** (1926), 117.

Received 1970; revised 5 June 1974